NOTES TO THE INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORT – 4TH QUARTER ENDED 31 JULY 2012

PART A – COMPLIANCE WITH THE FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARD 134 ON "INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORTING" AND BURSA LISTING REQUIREMENTS

A1 Accounting Policies and Method of Computation

The interim financial report is unaudited and is prepared in accordance with Financial Reporting Standards ("FRS") 134, Interim Financial Reporting and Chapter 9 Part K of the Listing Requirements of Bursa Malaysia Securities Berhad ("Bursa Malaysia").

The interim financial report should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements of the Group for the financial year ended 31 July 2011. These explanatory notes attached to the interim financial statements provide an explanation of events and transactions that are significant to an understanding of the changes in the financial position and performance of the Group since the financial year ended 31 July 2011.

The same accounting policies and methods of computation adopted by the Group in this interim financial report are consistent with those adopted in the audited financial statements for the financial year ended 31 July 2011.

The Group has not early adopted any new or revised standards, amendments or IC Interpretations which are applicable to the Group that have been issued by the MASB but are not yet effective for the Group's current financial year ended 31 July 2012.

On 19 November 2011, the Malaysian Accounting Standards Board (MASB) issued a new MASB approved accounting framework, the Malaysian Financial Reporting Standards (MFRS Framework).

The MFRS Framework is to be applied by all Entities Other Than Private Entities for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2012, with the exception of entities that are within the scope of MFRS 141 Agriculture (MFRS 141) and IC Interpretation 15 Agreements for Construction of Real Estate (IC 15), including its parent, significant investor and venturer.

The Group will be required to prepare financial statements using the MFRS Framework in its first MFRS financial statements for the year ending 31 July 2013. In presenting its first MFRS financial statements, the Group will be required to restate the financial position as at 1 August 2012 to amounts reflecting the application of MRFS Framework.

The Group has started an assessment of the differences between FRS and accounting standards under MFRS Framework and is in the process of assessing the financial effects of the differences. Accordingly, the financial position as disclosed in these financial statements for the year ended 31 July 2012 could be different if prepared under the MFRS Framework.

The Group expects to be in a position to fully comply with the requirements of the MFRS Framework for the financial year ending 31 July 2013.

A2 Disclosure of Audit Report Qualification

The auditors' report of the Group's most recent audited financial statements for the financial year ended 31 July 2011 did not contain any qualification.

A3 Seasonal or Cyclical Factors Affecting Operations

The principal business operations of the Group are not significantly affected by any seasonal or cyclical factors.

A4 Unusual Items Affecting the Assets, Liabilities, Equity, Net Income or Cash Flows

There were no unusual items affecting the assets, liabilities, equity, net income or cash flows of the Group for the financial year ended 31 July 2012.

NOTES TO THE INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORT – 4TH QUARTER ENDED 31 JULY 2012

PART A – COMPLIANCE WITH THE FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARD 134 ON "INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORTING" AND BURSA LISTING REQUIREMENTS

A5 Material Changes in Estimates

There were no changes in estimates that have a material effect in the current quarter.

A6 Changes in Debt and Equity Securities

There were no issuance and repayment of debt securities, share buy back, share cancellations, shares held as treasury shares and resale of treasury shares for the financial year ended 31 July 2012.

A7 Dividends Paid

Details of the dividend paid during the quarter under review are as follows:

First interim dividend for financial year	31 July 2012
Amount per share (tax-exempt)	3.0 sen
Approved and declared on	27 March 2012
Entitlement to dividends based on	
Record of Depositors as at	16 April 2012
Date paid	8 May 2012

A8 Segmental Reporting

No segmental information has been prepared as the Group is primarily engaged in manufacturing activities. The other activities of the Group are not significant.

No segmental information is provided on a geographical basis as the Group's activities are conducted primarily in Malaysia.

A9 Material Events Subsequent to the end of the Reporting Period

There were no material events subsequent to the end of the current financial year that have not been reflected in the interim financial reports for the said period as at the date of this report.

A10 Changes in the Composition of the Group

There were no changes in the composition of the Group in the current quarter.

A11 Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets

The Group has no contingent liabilities or contingent assets as at 31 July 2012.

A12 Capital Commitment

Capital commitment for the purchase of property, plant and equipment not provided for in the interim financial statements as at 31 July 2012 was as follows:

Approved and Contracted for RM2,778,000

Property, plant and equipment

NOTES TO THE INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORT – 4TH QUARTER ENDED 31 JULY 2012

PART B – ADDITIONAL EXPLANATORY NOTES PURSUANT TO APPENDIX 9B OF THE LISTING REQUIREMENTS OF BURSA MALAYSIA SECURITIES BERHAD

B1 Review of Performance

Comparison to preceding year corresponding quarter

For the fourth quarter ended 31 July 2012, the Group achieved consolidated revenue of RM31.00 million which was approximately 8.44% higher than the preceding year corresponding quarter. The increase in revenue was mainly attributable to the sales of the new product offering of automotive lightings for a car model launched by one of our existing customers in April 2012. The Group recorded a profit after tax of RM3.60 million for the current quarter as compared to RM2.74 million in the preceding year corresponding quarter. The increase in profit after tax was mainly due to improved operational efficiency and contribution from sales of the new automotive lightings in the current quarter.

Comparison to preceding year corresponding period

For the 12-month cumulative period ended 31 July 2012, the Group achieved consolidated revenue of RM111.09 million which was approximately 9.30% higher than the preceding year corresponding period. The increase was mainly attributable to the sales of the new automotive lightings products introduced in the current year. The Group recorded a profit after tax of RM10.95 million for the current year as compared to RM8.57 million for the same period in preceding year. The improvement was mainly due to improved operational efficiency and contribution from sales of the new automotive lightings in the current year.

B2 Material Changes in Profit before Taxation for the Current Quarter with Immediate Preceding Quarter

	Current Quarter 31 Jul 2012 RM'000	Preceding Quarter 30 Apr 2012 RM'000
Revenue	30,996	26,192
Pre-tax profit before non-controlling interests and after share of profit after tax of associate company	4,786	2,829

For the current quarter, the Group achieved revenue of RM31.00 million, representing an increase of 18.34% as compared to the preceding quarter ended 30 April 2012. The increase was attributable to the increase in sales of the new product offering of automotive lightings for a car model launched by one of our existing customers in April 2012. The Group recorded pre-tax profit before non-controlling interests and after share of profit after tax of associate company of RM4.79 million for the quarter under review as compared to RM2.83 million for the preceding quarter mainly attributed to improved operational efficiency and contribution from increased sales of the new automotive lightings in the current quarter.

B3 Prospects Commentary

The Group, in its drive to build its revenue base through product enhancements and differentiations, has entered its foray into automotive lightings through its wholly owned subsidiary, Multi-Code Technologies (M) Sdn. Bhd. and this new product offering is expected to contribute positively to the Group moving forward. The Group is actively taking various product design and development initiatives and seeking opportunities to secure new businesses.

The business operating environment continues to be challenging with the uncertain prevailing global economic situation. To remain resilient, the Group will continue to seek and undertake various appropriate measures to improve productivity and operational effectiveness. Barring any unforeseen circumstances, the Board of Directors is optimistic that the Group will be able to improve the current performance for the next financial year.

NOTES TO THE INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORT – 4TH QUARTER ENDED 31 JULY 2012

PART B – ADDITIONAL EXPLANATORY NOTES PURSUANT TO APPENDIX 9B OF THE LISTING REQUIREMENTS OF BURSA MALAYSIA SECURITIES BERHAD

B4 Variance of Actual Profit from Forecast Profit & Shortfall on Profit Guarantee

There is no profit forecast prepared for public release and no profit guarantee provided by the Group for the current financial year.

B5 Taxation

	Current Quarter 31-Jul-12 RM'000	Cumulative Quarters 31-Jul-12 RM'000
Income tax:		
- current year	1,182	4,008
- under/ (over)-provision in prior years	61_	25_
	1,243	4,033
Deferred tax	(58)	(35)
Tax expense	1,185	3,998

The effective tax rate for the current quarter is slightly lower than the statutory rate due to gain on disposal of property which is not subject to income tax in the current quarter.

The effective tax rate for the current year is higher than the statutory rate mainly due to certain expenses not deductible for income tax purpose.

B6 Status of Corporate Proposals

There were no corporate proposals announced by the Company as at the date of issue of this quarterly report.

B7 Group Borrowings and Debt Securities

Group borrowings as at 31 July 2012:

		<u>RM'000</u>
(a)	Secured borrowings	6,466
	Unsecured borrowings	<u></u> _
		6,466
(b)	Short term	
(-)	- term loan (secured)	1,421
	- hire purchase payable	105
	Faransa kalyasa	1,526
	Long term	
	- term loan (secured)	4,555
	- hire purchase payable	385
	1.5	4,940
	Total borrowings	6,466

All the above borrowings are denominated in Ringgit Malaysia.

NOTES TO THE INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORT – 4TH QUARTER ENDED 31 JULY 2012

PART B – ADDITIONAL EXPLANATORY NOTES PURSUANT TO APPENDIX 9B OF THE LISTING REQUIREMENTS OF BURSA MALAYSIA SECURITIES BERHAD

B8 Financial Instruments

The Group has entered into some forward foreign exchange currencies contracts to hedge its exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency arising from purchases.

As at 31 July 2012, the Group has the following outstanding derivative financial instruments:

Type of derivatives	Contract	Fair	Fair Value
	amount	value	net gain/(loss)
	RM'000	RM'000	RM'000
Currency forward contracts - less than 1 year	2,486	2,486	-

B9 Changes in Material Litigation

There were no pending material litigation as at 18 September 2012 being a date not earlier than 7 days from the date of this quarterly report except for the following:-

(i) Kuala Lumpur High Court Commercial Division - Suit No. D8-22-453-2008

Between Multi-Code Electronics Industries (M) Berhad ("1st Plaintiff"), Plasmet Industries (M) Sdn Bhd ("2nd Plaintiff"), Gordon Toh Chun Toh ("1st Defendant"), Dato' Abul Hasan Bin Mohamed Rashid ("2nd Defendant"), Kalwant Singh ("3rd Defendant"), Elliott Gordon Singapore Private Limited ("4th Defendant"), Elliott Gordon & Company International Limited ("5th Defendant"), Ace Prelude Holdings Sdn Bhd, formerly known as Ace Prelude Sdn Bhd ("6th Defendant"), Lily Chong Kui Foh ("7th Defendant"), Teng Sin Pyng ("8th Defendant"), Westcape Investments Limited ("9th Defendant"), Wong Jit Kiang ("10th Defendant"), Liew Then Boh ("11th Defendant") and Paul Ong & Associates (Sued as a Firm) ("12th Defendant")

A legal action was commenced on 7 April 2008 in the Kuala Lumpur High Court (Commercial Division) by Multi-Code Electronics Industries (M) Berhad ("MCE") and its subsidiary, Plasmet Industries (M) Sdn Bhd ("Plasmet") (collectively referred to as "the Company") against the abovementioned Defendants for inter alia, fraud, conspiracy to defraud, knowing assistance and knowing receipt and for breach of fiduciary and fidelity duties owed to the Company by the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants and to recover the sum of RM44,188,262.82 paid out of the Company's funds.

The Plaintiffs has since filed and obtained an ex-parte Mareva Injunction and an ex-parte Anton Piller Order on 8 April 2008 against various Defendants. These orders were subsequently affirmed by the High Court on 20 May 2009.

Hong Leong Bank Berhad's application to intervene and to vary the Inter Partes Mareva Injunction to exclude a particular property of the 6th Defendant under hire purchase agreement was dismissed. Hong Leong Bank has since filed an appeal to the Court of Appeal against the above decision. The appeal is now fixed for hearing before the Court of Appeal on 27 September 2012.

NOTES TO THE INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORT – 4TH QUARTER ENDED 31 JULY 2012

PART B – ADDITIONAL EXPLANATORY NOTES PURSUANT TO APPENDIX 9B OF THE LISTING REQUIREMENTS OF BURSA MALAYSIA SECURITIES BERHAD

B9 Changes in Material Litigation (Continued)

(i) Kuala Lumpur High Court Commercial Division - Suit No. D8-22-453-2008 (Continued)

On 31st July 2012, the High Court delivered its Judgment with the following orders:-

As against the 1st Defendant:

The Court found the 1st Defendant liable for fraud. The Court also found that the 1st Defendant had breached his fiduciary, statutory and common law duties as a director of the Plaintiffs.

Judgment was entered against the 1st Defendant for, amongst others, the total sum of RM44,188,262.82 with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at 4% per annum and cost of RM300,000.

As against the 2nd Defendant

The Court found the 2nd Defendant liable for fraud in respect of the RM31,009,917.80 that was uplifted and remitted out of the Plaintiffs' funds. The Court also found that the 2nd Defendant had breached his fiduciary, statutory and common law duties as a director of the 1st Plaintiff and that he had breached his fiduciary duties as a bank signatory of the 2nd Plaintiff. Judgment was entered against the 2nd Defendant for, amongst others, RM31,009,917.80 with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at 4% per annum and cost of RM200,000.

As against the 3rd Defendant

The Court dismissed the Plaintiffs' claim against the 3rd Defendant, however the Court did not order costs in favor of the 3rd Defendant as the Court found that the 3rd Defendant had compromised his employment with the 1st Plaintiff.

As against the 4th Defendant

The Court entered judgment against the 4th Defendant for fraud, conspiracy to defraud, the tort of knowing assistance and the tort of knowing receipt. Judgment was entered against the 4th Defendant for, amongst others, the sum of RM44,188,262.82, pre-judgment and post-judgment interests at 4% per annum and costs.

As against the 5th Defendant

The Court entered judgment against the 5th Defendant for fraud, conspiracy to defraud, the tort of knowing assistance and the tort of knowing receipt. Judgment was entered against the 5th Defendant for, amongst others, the sum of RM44,188,262.82, pre-judgment and post-judgment interests at 4% per annum and costs.

As against the 6th Defendant

The Court entered judgment against the 6th Defendant for fraud, conspiracy to defraud, the tort of knowing assistance and the tort of knowing receipt. Judgment was entered against the 6th Defendant for, amongst others, the sum of RM44,188,262.82, pre-judgment and post-judgment interests at 4% per annum and costs.

As against the 7th Defendants

The Court found the 7th Defendant liable for the tort of knowing assistance and the tort of knowing receipt. Accordingly, judgment was entered against the 7th Defendant in favor of the 1st Plaintiff for, amongst others, the sum of RM 2.7 million with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at 4% per annum and costs of RM70,000.

NOTES TO THE INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORT – 4TH QUARTER ENDED 31 JULY 2012

PART B – ADDITIONAL EXPLANATORY NOTES PURSUANT TO APPENDIX 9B OF THE LISTING REQUIREMENTS OF BURSA MALAYSIA SECURITIES BERHAD

B9 Changes in Material Litigation (Continued)

(i) Kuala Lumpur High Court Commercial Division - Suit No. D8-22-453-2008 (Continued)

As against the 8th Defendant

The Court found the 8th Defendant liable for the tort of knowing assistance and the tort of knowing receipt. Accordingly, judgment was entered against the 8th Defendant in favor of the Plaintiffs for, amongst others, the sum of RM 2.56 million with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at 4% per annum and costs of RM70,000.

As against the 9th Defendant

The Court entered judgment against the 9th Defendant for fraud, conspiracy to defraud, the tort of knowing assistance and the tort of knowing receipt. Judgment was entered against the 9th Defendant for, amongst others, the sum of RM44,188,262.82, pre-judgment and post-judgment interests at 4% per annum and costs.

As against the 10th Defendant

The Court entered judgment against the 10th Defendant for fraud, conspiracy to defraud, the tort of knowing assistance and the tort of knowing receipt. Judgment was entered against the 10th Defendant for, amongst others, the sum of RM44,188,262.82, pre-judgment and post-judgment interests at 4% per annum and costs.

As against the 11th Defendant

The Court entered judgment against the 11th Defendant for fraud, conspiracy to defraud, the tort of knowing assistance and the tort of knowing receipt. Judgment was entered against the 11th Defendant for, amongst others, the sum of RM44,188,262.82, pre-judgment and post-judgment interests at 4% per annum and costs.

As against the 12th Defendant

The suit was withdrawn against the 12th Defendant.

Further, the Court also made ancillary orders for purposes of enquiry, assessment and tracing.

Mareva Injunction

The Court also ordered that the interim Mareva Injunction dated 20 May 2009 that was obtained against 1st, 2nd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 10th and 11th Defendants be extended and thus continue until full execution of the judgment sum or satisfaction of the judgment sum and/or tracing orders. However, the applicable amounts restrained under the Mareva Injunction were varied in respect of the 2nd Defendant, 7th Defendant and the 8th Defendant. For the 2nd Defendant, the amount restrained under the Mareva Injunction is reduced to RM31,009,917.80. As for the 7th Defendant the amount restrained under the Mareva Injunction is reduced to RM2.7 million and for the 8th Defendants the amount restrained under the Mareva Injunction is reduced RM2.56 million respectively.

Unless there is an order of the Court staying the execution of the Judgment dated 31 July 2012, the Plaintiffs are in the midst of commencing execution proceedings to execute and enforce the Judgment dated 31 July 2012 against the Defendants.

The 1st, 2nd, 7th and 8th Defendants' appeals to the Court of Appeal

Dissatisfied with the High Court's decision given on 31 July 2012, the 1st, 2nd, 7th and 8th Defendants filed their respective appeals to the Court of Appeal. Thus far, the Court of Appeal has not fixed any hearing date for the said appeals.

NOTES TO THE INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORT – 4TH QUARTER ENDED 31 JULY 2012

PART B – ADDITIONAL EXPLANATORY NOTES PURSUANT TO APPENDIX 9B OF THE LISTING REQUIREMENTS OF BURSA MALAYSIA SECURITIES BERHAD

B9 Changes in Material Litigation (Continued)

(i) Kuala Lumpur High Court Commercial Division - Suit No. D8-22-453-2008 (Continued)

The 2nd Defendant's application for stay of execution of the High Court Judgment dated 31 July 2012

On 15 August 2012, the Plaintiffs were served with the 2nd Defendant's application for a stay of execution of the High Court Judgment dated 31 July 2012 pending disposal of his appeal to the Court of Appeal. The said application is now fixed for hearing before the High Court Judge on 22 October 2012.

(ii) Singapore Court Suit No. 258 OF 2008/N

Between Multi-Code Electronics Industries (M) Berhad ("1st Plaintiff"), Plasmet Industries (M) Sdn Bhd ("2nd Plaintiff"), Gordon Toh Chun Toh ("1st Defendant"), Dato' Abul Hasan Bin Mohamed Rashid ("2nd Defendant"), Kalwant Singh ("3rd Defendant"), Elliott Gordon Singapore Private Limited ("4th Defendant"), and Westcape Investments Limited ("5th Defendant")

A legal action was commenced in Singapore on 10 April 2008 by Multi-Code Electronics Industries (M) Berhad ("MCE") and its subsidiary, Plasmet Industries (M) Sdn Bhd ("Plasmet") (collectively referred to as "the Company") against the abovementioned Defendants for inter alia, fraud, conspiracy to defraud, knowing assistance and knowing receipt and for breach of fiduciary and fidelity duties owed to the Company by the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants and to recover the sum of RM44,188,262.82 paid out of the Company's funds.

A stay of the Singapore proceedings was ordered as against the 1st, 3rd and 4th Defendants on 4 August 2008. Whilst the Singapore Mareva Injunction is discharged as against the 3rd Defendant, it remains in place as against the 1st and 4th Defendants.

The Plaintiffs have filed a proof of debt on or about 22 December 2008 against the 4th Defendants who have been subjected to a compulsory winding up on or about September 2008.

The 2nd Defendant and the Plaintiffs have agreed to a stay of proceedings in Singapore against the 2nd Defendant on condition that the Singapore Mareva Injunction remain in place. An Order of Court pursuant to the agreement was filed on 20 January 2009.

Interlocutory Judgment in default of appearance was filed against the 5th Defendant on 20 February 2009 for, inter alia, the sum of RM44,188,262.82. The Plaintiffs' solicitors have served the said Interlocutory Judgment on the 5th Defendant together with their cover letter asking that the 5th Defendant make payment by 31 March 2009. There has been no response from the 5th Defendant to-date, although it is to be noted that the cover letter was returned as undelivered. The Plaintiffs' solicitors have advised, *inter alia*, that if no action is taken to assess damages for fraud and conspiracy (which is a relief separate from the sum of RM44,188,262.82) by 19 February 2010, the matter will be deemed discontinued. However, such discontinuance did not mean that the Interlocutory Judgment was cancelled. Enforcement proceedings may still be carried out against the sum of RM44,188,262.82 within 12 years of the Interlocutory Judgment. The Plaintiffs have decided to put on hold the assessment for damages and enforcement proceedings.

No further steps shall be taken in the Suit until and unless the Plaintiffs so decide to apply to lift the stay of proceedings in Singapore.

NOTES TO THE INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORT – 4TH QUARTER ENDED 31 JULY 2012

PART B – ADDITIONAL EXPLANATORY NOTES PURSUANT TO APPENDIX 9B OF THE LISTING REQUIREMENTS OF BURSA MALAYSIA SECURITIES BERHAD

- **B9** Changes in Material Litigation (Continued)
- (iii) Kuala Lumpur High Court Commercial Division Suit No. D-22-1537-2009

Between Beaucar Accessories (M) Sdn Bhd ("Plaintiff"), Gordon Toh Chun Toh ("1st Defendant"), Khoo Ah Kiow @ Koo Teck Hing ("2nd Defendant"), Mohd Noor Bin Ibrahim ("3rd Defendant"), Elliott Gordon Singapore Private Limited ("4th Defendant"), Ace Prelude Freight Logistics Sdn Bhd ("5th Defendant"), Foo Chee Pang (sued as a Partner of WGSB Management Services) ("6th Defendant") and Mohammad Zulkifli Bin Abdullah (sued as a Partner of WGSB Management Services) ("7th Defendant")

A legal action was commenced on 6 August 2009 in the Kuala Lumpur High Court (Commercial Division) by a subsidiary, Beaucar Accessories (M) Sdn Bhd ("the Subsidiary") against the abovementioned Defendants for inter alia, fraud, conspiracy to defraud, knowing assistance and knowing receipt and for breach of fiduciary and fidelity duties owed to the Subsidiary by the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants as Directors of the Subsidiary and to recover, inter alia, the sum of RM500,000 paid out of the Subsidiary's funds and a sum of RM1,114,750 for the questionable purchase of a car.

The 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendant had filed their Defences against the Plaintiff's claim.

Certificates of Non-Appearance were obtained against the 4th and 5th Defendants. Thereafter, judgments in default were entered and obtained against them.

The 6th and 7th Defendants had filed an application to strike out the Plaintiff's claim against them which was dismissed with costs subsequently. Both Defendants' application for leave to file their Defence out of time was dismissed with costs. However, upon appeal to the High Court Judge, the appeal for leave to file their Defence was allowed.

The trial of the High Court proceedings commenced on 8 November 2011 and ended on 13 January 2012. On 20 March 2012, the High Court in allowing the Plaintiff's claim, pronounced, in open Court that (i) the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants breached their fiduciary duties as directors to act in good faith and in the best interest of the Plaintiff, (ii) that the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants had conspired to defraud the Plaintiff (iii) that the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants committed fraud on the Plaintiff. The High Court further made the following orders:-

- A declaration that the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants had acted in breach of their directors' duties and their fiduciary duties as directors to act in good faith and in the best interest of the Plaintiff;
- 2. That the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants pay the sum of RM1,114,750 payable to Hong Leong Bank Berhad under the Hire-Purchase Agreement dated 14.11.2007 to the Plaintiff, and within 1 month upon receipt of RM1,114,750.00, Plaintiff shall transfer, surrender ownership and the possession of the Mercedes-Benz, model S350L bearing the registration number "JLE 2323" to the the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants;
- 3. That the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants pay the sum of RM470,000 to the Plaintiff;
- 4. That the 6th and 7th Defendants had knowingly received payments of RM30,000 out of the funds and property of the Plaintiff procured by the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants in breach of their duties as directors to the Plaintiff;
- 5. That the 6th and 7th Defendants are liable to account to the Plaintiff as constructive trustees;
- 6. That the 6th and 7th Defendants pay the sum of RM30,000 to the Plaintiff;

NOTES TO THE INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORT – 4TH QUARTER ENDED 31 JULY 2012

PART B – ADDITIONAL EXPLANATORY NOTES PURSUANT TO APPENDIX 9B OF THE LISTING REQUIREMENTS OF BURSA MALAYSIA SECURITIES BERHAD

- B9 Changes in Material Litigation (Continued)
- (iii) Kuala Lumpur High Court Commercial Division Suit No. D-22-1537-2009 (Continued)
 - 7. That damages be assessed by the Deputy Registrar for breach of directors' duties and fiduciary duties by the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants;
 - 8. An account and inquiry as to all the funds of the Plaintiff received by and paid on account of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants pursuant to the breach of the their directors' duties and fiduciary duties, and for such tracing orders as may be necessary in aid thereof;
 - 9. That the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants pay to the Plaintiff those sums found to be due on the taking of the account pursuant to prayer (8) above.
 - 10. An account and inquiry as to all funds of the Plaintiff received by or paid on account of the 6th and 7th Defendants and each of them, and for such tracing orders as may be necessary in aid thereof;
 - 11. That the 6th and 7th Defendants pay to the Plaintiff those sums found to be due on the taking of the account pursuant to prayer (10) above;
 - 12. That damages be assessed by the Deputy Registrar for fraud and conspiracy to defraud the Plaintiff by the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants;
 - 13. Pre-judgment interest on all amounts adjudged to be due to the Plaintiff at the rate of 4% per annum pursuant to section 11 of the Civil Law Act 1956 from the date of the Amended Writ of Summons (26 August 2009) until the date of judgment;
 - 14. Post-judgment interest on all amounts adjudged to be due to the Plaintiff at the rate of 4% per annum pursuant to Order 42 rule 12 of the Rules of the High Court 1980 from the date of judgment (20 March 2012) until full and final settlement thereof; and
 - 15. Costs in the sum of RM100,000 to be paid by the Defendants to the Plaintiff, forthwith and in accordance with the following apportionments:-
 - 15.1 that the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants jointly and severally pay the sum of RM90,000 to the Plaintiff as costs of this action; and
 - 15.2 that the 6th and 7th Defendants jointly and severally pay the sum of RM10,000 as costs of this action.

The sealed Judgment dated 20 March 2012 has been extracted from the High Court and served on the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 6th and 7th Defendants. The Plaintiff had on 12 June 2012 served the sealed Judgment dated 20 March 2012 to the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 6th and 7th Defendants. On 16 July 2012, the 6th and 7th Defendants paid the sum of RM30,000 as stated in paragraph 6 above, the accrued interest on the RM30,000 together with costs of RM10,000 to the Plaintiff.

Unless there is an order of the Court staying the execution of the Judgment dated 20 March 2012, the Plaintiff is in the midst of commencing execution proceedings to execute and enforce the Judgment dated 20 March 2012 against the Defendants.

The 1st Defendant is appealing against the decision of the High Court *vide* his Notice of Appeal dated 17 April 2012. Thus far, the Court of Appeal has not fixed any hearing date for the said appeal and the 1st Defendant did not file any application for a stay of execution of the judgment.

NOTES TO THE INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORT – 4TH QUARTER ENDED 31 JULY 2012

PART B – ADDITIONAL EXPLANATORY NOTES PURSUANT TO APPENDIX 9B OF THE LISTING REQUIREMENTS OF BURSA MALAYSIA SECURITIES BERHAD

B10 Dividends

(a) Details of the interim dividend approved and declared by the Board of Directors is as follows:

10110		
	31.07.2012	31.07.2011
Interim dividend for financial year	31 July 2012	31 July 2011
Amount per share (single-tier)	3.0 sen	-
Amount per share (tax-exempt)	-	2.5 sen
Approved and declared on	24 September 2012	30 September 2011
Entitlement to dividends based on	_	-
Record of Depositors as at	15 October 2012	20 October 2011
Date payable	6 November 2012	11 November 2011

⁽b) Total dividends for the current financial year: 6.0 sen per share under single-tier system (financial year 2011: tax exempt dividends of 5.0 sen per share).

B11 Earnings per Share

The basic and diluted earnings per share are calculated as follows:

	INDIVIDUAL Current quarter ended 31 July 2012	QUARTER Comparative quarter ended 31 July 2011	CUMULATIVI 12-month cumulative to date 31 July 2012	E QUARTERS 12-month cumulative to date 31 July 2011
Profit for the year attributable to ordinary equity holders of the company (RM'000)	3,640	2,773	11,080	8,650
Weighted average number of ordinary shares in issue ('000)	44,405	44,405	44,405	44,405
Basic and diluted earnings per share (sen)	8.20	6.24	24.95	19.48

NOTES TO THE INTERIM FINANCIAL REPORT – 4TH QUARTER ENDED 31 JULY 2012

PART B – ADDITIONAL EXPLANATORY NOTES PURSUANT TO APPENDIX 9B OF THE LISTING REQUIREMENTS OF BURSA MALAYSIA SECURITIES BERHAD

B12 Disclosure of Realised and Unrealised Profits

The breakdown of the retained profits of the Group into realised and unrealised profits is as follows:

As at	As at
31-Jul-12	31-Jul-11
RM'000	RM'000
23,749	13,880
(1,926)	(1,808)
21,823	12,072
-	1,074
(1,313)	(1,353)
20,510	11,793
	31-Jul-12 RM'000 23,749 (1,926) 21,823

B13 Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU")

- (i) The Company had entered into a MOU with Hella Shanghai Electronics Co. Ltd ("Hella Shanghai") on 1 March 2010 which sets forth a framework for the consultation and exchange of information and technology. Subject always to the execution of a definitive agreement, Hella Shanghai and the Company agreed that their co-operation and collaboration for the consultation and exchange of information and technology shall be carried out in the manner as set forth in detail in the MOU.
- (ii) The Company had also entered into a MOU with SEJIN Electron Inc. ("SEJIN") on 15 November 2011 which sets forth a framework for the consultation and exchange of information and technology. Subject always to the execution of a definitive agreement, SEJIN and the Company agreed that their co-operation and collaboration for the consultation and exchange of information and technology shall be carried out in the manner as set forth in detail in the MOU.

Save for the above, there were no further developments to date.

B14 Authorised for issue

The interim financial statements were authorised by the Board of Directors in accordance with the resolution of the Directors on 24 September 2012.

By Order of the Board,

Lee Wee Hee (MAICSA 0773340) Pow Juliet (MAICSA 7020821) Company Secretaries Johor Bahru Date: 24 September 2012 c.c. Securities Commission